

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,110	Applicant(s) KETELAARS ET AL.	
	Examiner RICHARD M. BEMBEN	Art Unit 2622	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) RICHARD M. BEMBEN.

(3) _____.

(2) Dan Piotrowski (Reg. No. 42,079).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2010

Time: 10:15AM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed amendments to place the application in condition for allowance; applicant's representative accepted. See the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)